UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	_

DEBRA L. GILMORE,

Plaintiff,

DECISION AND ORDER

05-CV-6037L

v.

UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff filed a motion to compel (Dkt. #49) and a motion to file a fifth amended complaint (Dkt. #54). This Court had previously referred all pretrial motions to United States Magistrate Judge Jonathan W. Feldman pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).

Magistrate Judge Feldman held oral argument on the motions on May 23, 2008. Magistrate Judge Feldman ruled from the bench on the motions and entered a written order memorializing those rulings on May 30, 2008.

On June 13, 2008, plaintiff filed an objection (Dkt. #59) to Magistrate Judge Feldman's order denying the motion to file an amended complaint.

The proceedings before Magistrate Judge Feldman on May 23, 2008 were tape recorded, and I have listened to the entire taped proceedings which included argument and Magistrate Judge Feldman's rulings.

Case 6:05-cv-06037-DGL-JWF Document 60 Filed 06/17/08 Page 2 of 2

The standard for review of a Magistrate Judge's decision relative to a motion to amend is

whether the Magistrate Judge's decision is clearly erroneous and contrary to law. Plaintiff has failed

to demonstrate either. As Magistrate Judge Feldman noted in his oral ruling, the time within which

to file an amended complaint has long since lapsed. This action was commenced in 2005 and there

appears to be no legitimate reason why the matters alleged in the fifth amended complaint could not

have been alleged in the several earlier versions that have been filed. In addition, as Magistrate

Judge Feldman noted, there is currently a summary judgment motion pending before this Court with

an established schedule for responding to defendants' motion.

CONCLUSION

The order of United States Magistrate Judge Jonathan W. Feldman denying plaintiff's request

to file a fifth amended complaint, which was entered on the record May 23, 2008 and confirmed by

order, entered May 30, 2008 is affirmed. I deny and reject the objection filed by plaintiff to that

order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DAVID G. LARIMER

United States District Judge

Dated: Rochester, New York

June 17, 2008.

- 2 -